John W. Mackay (6923)

RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.

36 South State Street, Suite 1400

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Telephone: (801) 532-1500

Facsimile: (801) 532-7543

jmackay@rqn.com

Ted Lavender (pro hac vice forthcoming) Kris Alderman (pro hac vice forthcoming)

FISHER BROYLES

945 East Paces Ferry Road, Suite 2000

Atlanta, Georgia 30326

Telephone: (404) 400-4500 Facsimile: (404) 596-8887

ted.lavender@fisherbroyles.com kris.alderman@fisherbroyles.com

Attorneys for Defendant ELAP Services, LLC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

IHC HEALTH SERVICES, INC., a non-profit Utah corporation,

Plaintiff.

VS.

ELAP SERVICES, LLC, a limited liability company,

Defendant.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT FOR DECISION and REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Case No. 2:17-cv-01245-JNP-EJF

Judge Jill N. Parrish

Magistrate Judge Evelyn J. Furse

Pursuant to DUCivR 7-3, Defendant ELAP Services, LLC ("ELAP") by and through counsel, hereby file this Request to Submit for Decision concerning Defendant ELAP Services, LLC Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 7). The following documents, together with their supporting exhibits, have been filed:

Case 2:17-cv-01245-JNP-EJF Document 25 Filed 08/22/18 Page 2 of 3

1. Defendant's Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss, filed on February 12, 2018;

2. Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed on April 27, 2018; and

3. Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss (Rule 12(b)(6) & Rule 9(b)),

filed May 30, 2018.

The motion which includes arguments under Rule 9(b) is now fully briefed and ready for

oral argument and a decision. Pursuant to DUCivR 7-1(f), ELAP respectfully requests that oral

argument be heard on this matter. Given the complexity of issues potentially at stake; the

breadth of discovery were the case to go forward; the differing narratives provided by the parties

under Rule 9(b) under IHC's somewhat novel litigation theory; the inherent seriousness of fraud

allegations; and the legal issues and rights at stake in this litigation; ELAP submits that there

exists good cause to allow oral argument.

Based on all of the foregoing, Defendant ELAP requests the above motion be submitted

for decision and set for oral argument as soon as possible given that the discovery period in this

matter has now commenced and direction from the Court is necessary.

DATED this 22nd day of August, 2018.

RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.

/s/ John W. Mackay

John W. Mackay

Attorneys for Defendant

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 22, 2018, the foregoing **NOTICE TO SUBMIT FOR DECISION and REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT** was filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of such filing to the following:

Alan C. Bradshaw Chad R. Derum MANNING CURTIS BRADSHAW & BEDNAR PLLC 136 E. South Temple, Suite 1300 Salt Lake City, UT 84111

/s/ Lori M. McGee

1463692